Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/735,619 | MATSUNAMI, KANAKO | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| TAT CHIO | 2481 | |

| | TAT CHIO | 2481 | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| . Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiart, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f). | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office late than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp | 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter | | | | | |
| Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | ithin the time period set forth in 37 | CFR 41.37(a). | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I | | | cause | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co | | E below); | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | and the second second second | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying tr | ne issues for | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | sorroopenang namber or many reje | otod oldiirio. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co | mnliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | - ipilani i anonamoni (i | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1.3 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. | vercome all rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See attached. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2481

/T. C. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2481